

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PORTLAND DIVISION

**WESTERN STATES OFFICE AND  
PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYEES PENSION  
FUND,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**BAY AREA LONGSHOREMEN'S MEMORIAL  
ASSOCIATION,**

Defendants.

**MOSMAN, J.,**

No. 3:13-CV-00671-ST

OPINION AND ORDER

On September 18, 2013, Magistrate Judge Stewart issued her Findings and Recommendation [14], recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment [11] be GRANTED. No objections were filed.

**DISCUSSION**

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to

make a *de novo* determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge Stewart's recommendation. Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment [11] is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 11th day of October, 2013.

/s/ Michael W. Mosman  
MICHAEL W. MOSMAN  
United States District Judge